



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,390	11/20/2003	Ronald F. Palermo	10670013010202	9732
37211	7590	05/21/2009		
BASCH & NICKERSON LLP 1777 PENFIELD ROAD PENFIELD, NY 14526			EXAMINER WOLFSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT 1791	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 05/21/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dneels@bnpatentlaw.com

dmasters@bnpatentlaw.com

mnickerson@bnpatentlaw.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/718,390

Applicant(s)

PALERMO ET AL.

Examiner

JEFFREY WOLLSCHLAGER

Art Unit

1791

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeff Wollschlager.

(3) _____.

(2) Duane Basch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 20, 22, 24 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: applied in rejection.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Mr. Basch, and Ex. Wollschlager, discussed through phone messages, the final rejection and the indication of allowable subject matter contained therein. Ex. Wollschlager explained why he considered the rejection of claims 20 and 22 to still be proper and re-articulated the allowable subject matter of claims 24 and 26.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeff Wollschlager/
Examiner, Art Unit 1791